



SCIENCE
BASED
TARGETS

DRIVING AMBITIOUS CORPORATE CLIMATE ACTION

SBTi Technical Council Meeting Minutes

29 May 2026 - 15:00-17:30 CET

On-line meeting

Public minutes

Disclaimer:

The meeting notes provided herein are intended to capture the discussions, decisions, and actions taken during the meeting to the best of the note-taker's ability. While efforts have been made to accurately represent the proceedings, it is essential to acknowledge that these minutes are a summary and may not capture every detail or nuance of the discussions held. In addition to human note-taking, AI tools were used to support the drafting and summarization of these minutes.

While every effort has been made to respect the confidentiality of sensitive information, please be aware that the meeting minutes may contain references to discussions or materials considered confidential or privileged. The unauthorized disclosure, distribution, or use of such information is strictly prohibited. If you believe any sensitive information has been improperly disclosed, please contact the Technical Council Chair.

Meeting minutes are subject to revision and amendment. The content may be updated or modified based on corrections, additions, or clarifications deemed necessary by the Technical Council Chair and Vice-Chair.

Abbreviations:

- CEO Chief Executive Officer
- CFE Call for Evidence
- CTO Chief Technical Officer
- CNZ Corporate Net-Zero
- CoC Code of Conduct
- EACs Environmental Attributes Certificates
- EAG Expert Advisory Group
- EWG Expert Working Group
- FI Financial Institution
- FINT Financial Institutions Near-Term
- FINZ Financial Institutions Net-Zero
- OC Oversight Committee
- O&G Oil and Gas
- RECs Renewable Energy Certificates
- SAG Scientific Advisory Group
- SBT Science Based Target
- SBTi Science Based Targets initiative
- SOP SBTi Procedure for Development of Standards v1.0
- TAG Technical Advisory Group
- TC Technical Council
- TD Technical Department
- ToR Terms of Reference

Meeting participants

Technical Council Members

Kornelis Blok (Chair)
Laura Draucker (Vice Chair)
Owen Hewlett
Stephanie Roe
Anders Bjørn
Pedro Faria
Nicole Roettmer
Mandy Rambharos
Michael Gillenwater
Pankaj Bhatia
Maria Virginia Vilariño

Technical Council Members - Absent

Steven Davis
Doreen Stabinsky

SBTi

Alberto Carrillo Pineda (CTO)
Piera Patrizio (Deputy Technical Director)
Eoin White (Research Lead)
Aurelia Hibbert (Pathways and Methods Lead)
Humphrey Adun (Subject Matter Expert, Research)
Sophie FitzGerald (Research Partnership Lead)
Giulia Camparsi (Senior Analyst)
Éamonn Fetherston (Senior Associate, Research)
Parker Caswell (Senior Associate, Sector Standards)
Alice Tegami (Energy Sector Lead)

SBTi Observers

Mona Karraoui (Head of Quality)
Anna Gniwotta (Quality Associate)

Session note takers

Anna Gniwotta (Quality Associate)

Meeting Agenda

Session 1: Welcome and Agenda

Session 2: Process overview - Methods, Metrics and Pathways (MMPs) commenting period and approval process

Session 3: MMPs Overview

Session 4: MMPs Overview - Key topics

Session 5: MMPs - Implemented changes and proposed questions for commenting period

Session 6: Approval to publish the MMPs for commenting period

Session 7: Next Steps and Closing

Meeting Objectives:

The Technical Council (TC) convened to:

- (i) Receive an overview of the proposed commenting period and approval timeline for the Corporate Net-Zero Methods, Metrics and Pathways (MMPs);
- (ii) Review proposed revisions to the MMPs and the questions intended to support stakeholder feedback during the comment period;
- (iii) Discuss key methodological topics, including regional differentiation, method complexity, method robustness, pathway readiness, and scenario selection;
- (iv) Review proposed revisions to the MMPs and the commenting period questions intended to support stakeholder feedback; and
- (v) Provide a decision on the MMP package readiness for publication for the commenting period, and confirm the next steps in the process.

High-level summary of feedback and decisions:

The following summarizes the key feedback discussed during the meeting and related decisions or actions agreed during the meeting:

I. Welcome and Agenda

The Chair opened the meeting, introduced the agenda, and invited any additional agenda items; none were raised.

The Chair confirmed that the meeting would be recorded and invited members to declare any conflicts of interest relating to the agenda items. No conflicts were raised.

The Secretariat outlined the purpose of the meeting, which was to review the proposed package for the Corporate Net-Zero Methods, Metrics and Pathways and to seek Technical Council approval to publish the materials for a commenting period, before being presented for final approval.

The Chair explained that the meeting would focus on the proposed process, the package of Methods, Metrics and Pathways, key methodological topics identified through previous

feedback, and the commenting period questions proposed to gather stakeholder input during the commenting period.

The Technical Council agreed on the agenda and proceeded to the first substantive discussion item.

II. MMP Process overview - MMPs commenting period and approval process

The Secretariat presented the proposed process for the Corporate Net-Zero Methods, Metrics and Pathways (MMPs), including a 50-day commenting period intended to assess the usability, structure and completeness of the documentation before final approval. Stakeholders will be invited to review supporting documentation, use a dedicated target-setting tool, and provide both general and targeted feedback through a structured survey.

The Secretariat explained that feedback received during the commenting period will be reviewed and incorporated into revised drafts, with the intention of returning the package to the Technical Council for approval later in the year. The process also includes targeted expert engagement on selected technical topics where specialised expertise may be required.

Members discussed how the commenting period materials would support stakeholder participation and understanding. The Secretariat outlined plans to provide supporting documentation, interactive tools, and explanatory resources to improve accessibility and facilitate informed feedback.

The Secretariat also clarified that this process aims to gather feedback on usability and feasibility, while more extensive pilot testing would be limited to methods and pathways that overlap with the Power Sector Standard workstream.

III. MMPs Overview

The Secretariat presented the overall MMP package and explained how the methods and pathways fit within the Corporate Net-Zero target-setting architecture. The package comprises methods and pathways covering different emissions scopes and target-setting applications. To avoid confusion during the commenting period for the Corporate Net-Zero MMPs, pathways associated with the Power Sector Standard will be commented on through a separate process.

The discussion focused on improving clarity regarding how methods and pathways apply across different target-setting approaches. The Secretariat presented updates to the supporting documentation, including a mapping exercise designed to show how methods and pathways relate to specific activities, scopes, and target types.

IV. MMPs Overview - Key Topics

The Secretariat presented feedback received on the MMPs and highlighted several key topics requiring further discussion before the commenting period. These included

differentiation within pathways, the Asset Decarbonization Plan (ADP), scope 3 alignment pathways, and scenario-selection approaches.

The Technical Council discussed proposals to introduce regional differentiation within cross-sector pathways and considered whether similar differentiation may be required for alignment pathways. Members discussed challenges associated with applying common benchmarks across different economic contexts and supported presenting regional and global cross sector pathways for public comment.

The discussion also focused on the ADP method. Members considered questions relating to implementation, data requirements, validation feasibility, and methods used across target-setting approaches. The Secretariat outlined plans to improve usability through supporting tools and documentation and discussed possible guardrails and eligibility criteria intended to maintain credibility and prevent delayed decarbonization outcomes.

The Technical Council reviewed feedback on scope 3 alignment pathways and discussed efforts to strengthen pathway definitions, improve transparency regarding assumptions, and clarify the technical basis for pathway development. Members also discussed future opportunities to develop pathways and supporting resources over time.

The Secretariat presented additional analysis relating to scenario selection and pathway representativeness. Members discussed the implications of biomass and bioenergy-related filtering criteria, the representativeness of resulting pathways, the availability of newer scenario datasets, and options for regional pathway development. The Technical Council supported further expert engagement on appropriate scenarios.

V. MMPs: Implemented changes and proposed commenting period questions

The Secretariat presented the revisions incorporated into the MMP package following previous Technical Council feedback and outlined the questions proposed for the commenting period. Discussions focused particularly on the Asset Decarbonization Plan (ADP), alignment pathways, cross-sector pathways, and electricity-related pathways.

For the ADP, the proposed commenting period questions address the feasibility of data submission requirements, validation evidence, eligibility criteria, applicability across different company types, and approaches to determining asset lifetimes. Members provided feedback on the framing of these questions and suggested broadening certain topics to capture additional implementation considerations.

The Technical Council discussed the importance of clearly communicating the rationale for the ADP method, distinguishing it from other target-setting approaches, and ensuring that any proposed guardrails are appropriately reflected in the commenting period materials. Members also discussed the role of transition planning, asset-lifetime assumptions, and other factors that may influence implementation.

The Technical Council agreed to integrate explicit questions on potential guardrails into the ADP eligibility criteria section of the consultation survey. Additional commenting period questions were discussed for alignment pathways, including pathway definitions, benchmarks, regional differentiation, commodity-related criteria, product pathways, and

electricity pathways. Members reviewed proposed updates intended to improve clarity, strengthen technical justification, and ensure consistency across pathway approaches.

VI. Approval to publish the MMPs for Commenting Period

Following discussion of the proposed revisions and commenting period approach, the Technical Council reviewed the complete MMP documentation package and the proposed commenting period materials. Members confirmed that feedback received during previous review cycles had been incorporated and that the package was suitable for public comment.

The Technical Council agreed that the commenting period materials should include proposed regional pathway options, questions relating to the Asset Decarbonization Plan, and additional supporting materials intended to improve stakeholder understanding of the methods and pathways.

The Chair confirmed that a quorum was present and proceeded to seek approval for publication of the MMP package for a commenting period. The Technical Council approved the document package for public comment by consensus, with no objections raised and agreed that feedback received during the commenting period would be reviewed before the package returns for formal approval at a later stage.

VII. Closing and Next Steps

The Chair closed the meeting by thanking Technical Council members and the Secretariat for their contributions.

A further discussion on Methods, Metrics and Pathways is planned later in the year for formal approval from the Technical Council.



SCIENCE
BASED
TARGETS

DRIVING AMBITIOUS CORPORATE CLIMATE ACTION

