



SBTi CORPORATE NET-ZERO STANDARD V2.0 METHODS, METRICS AND PATHWAYS (MMPs): PUBLIC COMMENT QUESTIONS

June 2026

Introduction

Welcome to the Science Based Targets initiative's (SBTi) public comment on the Metrics Methods and Pathways (MMPs) documentation that support the implementation of the Corporate Net-Zero Standard (CNZS) Version 2.0.

This public comment invites feedback from external stakeholders on the MMPs documentation, which provide the technical and methodological detail needed to operationalize the target-setting requirements of CNZS V2.0. The goal of this process is to assess whether the MMPs documentation are clear, practical, sufficiently detailed, and capable of supporting consistent implementation across sectors and geographies.

Getting started

- This survey may take up to 45 minutes to complete, but may take much less time, depending on the topics you choose to cover.
- The consultation period will be **open from June 11 until July 31 at 11:59 PM PT**.
- Your progress will be saved automatically as you complete the survey.
- You can download a PDF version of the survey here to review offline before responding.
- For definitions of technical terms, please reference the "Key Terms" section of the Standard, and the online [SBTi Glossary](#).
- To support your review, please consult the accompanying [MMP Supporting Documentation](#), which explains the role of methods and pathways within the CNZS V2.0 framework, the target-setting architecture, and the application of methods and pathways across Scope 1, Scope 2, and Scope 3 emissions.

Submitting your response

You will receive a confirmation once you submit your response.

You can also request a copy of your response by checking the box at the end of the survey.

Important: Please make sure to click “Submit” on the final page of the survey. Only submitted responses can be received and included in the consultation analysis. Responses that are not formally submitted cannot be taken into account.

Survey objectives

The main objectives of this consultation survey are to:

- To assess the usability, structure, and completeness of the MMP specifications and supporting materials; and
- To evaluate whether the MMPs are sufficiently clear, practical, and capable of supporting consistent implementation across sectors and geographies.

What to expect from the survey

Materials for reference:

We welcome all stakeholders with an interest in this project development to share feedback on the following resources:

1. [Supporting Documentation](#) which includes:
 - a. Overview of the role of methods and pathways within the Corporate Net-Zero Standard (CNZS) Version 2.0 framework
 - b. *Table A.1: Summary of target setting options within each GHG scope and applicable Method and Pathway type*
 - c. *Tables A.2-A.3- A.4: Target setting methods and pathways to address scope 1,2,3 emissions.*
 - d. The Methods and PathwaysSpec Sheets:

Methods

- i. Linear Contraction
- ii. Index Alignment
- iii. Sector Decarbonization Approach (SDA)
- iv. Linear Alignment
- v. Asset Decarbonization Plan (ADP)

Pathways

- vi. Scope 2 Low-carbon electricity pathway
- vii. Scope 2 electricity emission pathway
- viii. Cross-sector pathway
- ix. Supplier and/or customer alignment pathway
- x. Generic purchasing pathway
- xi. Generic products pathway
- xii. Power generation asset transition pathway (illustrative only)

2. The SBTi CNZS V2 Target-Setting Tool (Beta version)

Survey structure

- The first section, “About you”, collects background information to help SBTi analyze feedback by stakeholder groups.
- All subsequent questions are optional, but we encourage you to respond.

How your input will be used

All feedback will be reviewed and analyzed by the SBTi and will be used to inform the refinement of the MMPs documentation and supporting implementation resources.

Feedback will help identify areas where additional clarification, guidance, examples, or methodological detail may be needed to support consistent application of the Corporate Net-Zero Standard (CNZS) Version 2.0.

The SBTi may publish a summary of consultation findings in aggregated form. Individual respondents will not be identified, and personal information will be handled in accordance with the SBTi's data privacy policies.

For details on how your data is handled, please refer to the Disclaimer and Data Privacy section below.

Please note

- Feedback submitted outside of this survey, unclear or incomplete submissions, may not be reviewed or considered.
- Respondents are encouraged to provide their own original input to the survey. While we recognize that AI tools may be used for translations or refinements, responses that appear to be entirely AI-generated or bot-generated without original input may not be considered by the SBTi. The SBTi reserves the right to exclude such submissions from analysis.

Need help?

If you have questions regarding this survey or the consultation process, please contact MMPConsultation@sciencebasedtargets.org.

Thank you for your time and contribution to the development of the MMPs supporting the implementation of CNZS V2.0.

Your feedback is critical to ensuring that the SBTi's resources reflect the needs, experiences, and insights of the global stakeholder community. We look forward to receiving your responses.

Disclaimer and data privacy

Please note that any personal data you provide will remain confidential and will be processed in accordance with all relevant SBTi policies, including but not limited to [Data Privacy Policy](#) and all relevant and applicable data protection and data privacy regulations

and legislation. All information collected by the SBTi will be used solely for the purposes of this public commenting period, except where participants have provided explicit consent for other uses. The SBTi shall retain information in accordance with its [Data Privacy Policy](#), [Standard Operating Procedure](#), or any regulatory or legislative requirements.

We collect, handle, and safeguard the information provided within this survey in the following way:

- The data collected is used exclusively for the purpose of this consultation, including the evaluation and analysis of submissions.
- Your personal data will be treated with the utmost confidentiality. We will not disclose your personal information without your explicit consent.
- We employ industry-standard security measures to protect your data against unauthorized access, disclosure, alteration, or destruction. We are committed to maintaining the security and integrity of all data collected.
- We will retain your data only for as long as necessary to fulfill the purposes outlined in this consultation unless a longer retention period is necessary for legitimate research and compliance purposes.
- Any information or data that is published based on submissions will be anonymized.

Although reasonable care was taken in the preparation of this survey, the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) affirms that the survey is provided without warranty, either expressed or implied, of accuracy, completeness or fitness for purpose. The SBTi hereby further disclaims any liability, direct or indirect, for damages or loss relating to the use of this survey to the fullest extent permitted by law.

The information (including data) contained in this survey is not intended to constitute or form the basis of any advice (financial or otherwise). The SBTi does not accept any liability for any claim or loss arising from any use of or reliance on any data or information.

The contents of this survey are protected by copyright. Information or material from this survey may be reproduced only in unaltered form for personal, non-commercial use. All other rights are reserved. Information or material used from this survey may be used only for the purposes of private study, research, criticism, or review permitted under the Copyright Designs & Patents Act 1988 as amended from time to time ('Copyright Act'). Any reproduction permitted in accordance with the Copyright Act shall acknowledge this survey as the source of any selected passage, extract, diagram, content or other information.

“Science Based Targets initiative” and “SBTi” refer to the Science Based Targets initiative, a private company registered in England number 14960097 and registered as a UK Charity number 1205768.

Table 1 - Introductory questions

General topic	Questions
<p>About you (all mandatory questions, unless explicit)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. First name* 2. Last name* 3. Job title* 4. Email address* 5. Organization name* 6. Type of organization* <i>[Drop down list of organization type]</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Corporate (Private Sector) ● Financial Institution ● Professional Services & Consultancies ● Industry Association & Business Network ● Government & Public Sector ● State-Owned Enterprise (SOE) ● Multilateral & International Organization ● Academia, Research Institution & Think Tank ● Civil Society & Advocacy NGO ● Service-Oriented Non-Profit & Foundation ● Non-Profit Business Member Organization ● Standard-Setting Body ● Media & Journalism ● Labor Union & Worker ● N/A - Responding as an individual 7. If you are a Corporate, Financial Institution, or Professional Service & Consultancy: What is your company's SBTi status? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● My company has a validated net-zero science-based target ● My company has a validated near-term science-based target ● My company has a commitment to set a science-based target ● My company has not committed to set science-based targets and doesn't have a validated target ● N/A - Not relevant to me. 8. If you are a Corporate, Financial Institution, or Professional Service & Consultancy: What was your company's net annual turnover in the most recent reporting year (revenue)? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● > 450M USD ● 50-450M USD ● <50M USD ● Unsure / do not wish to disclose ● N/A - Not relevant to me. 9. If you are a Corporate, Financial Institution, or Professional Service & Consultancy: Select the range that best represents your total number of full-time employees in your most recent reporting year. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● More than 1,000 ● Between 250 and 1,000 ● Fewer than 250 ● N/A - Not relevant to me. 10. If you are a Corporate, Financial Institution, or Professional Service & Consultancy: <i>What sector does your company operate in? [Drop down list of sector classifications]</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Aerospace and Defense</i> ● <i>Air Freight Transportation and Logistics</i> ● <i>Air Transportation - Airlines</i> ● <i>Air Transportation - Airport Services</i>

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Automobiles and Components</i> ● <i>Banks, Diverse Financials, Insurance</i> ● <i>Building Products</i> ● <i>Chemicals</i> ● <i>Construction and Engineering</i> ● <i>Construction Materials</i> ● <i>Consumer Durables, Household and Personal Products</i> ● <i>Containers and Packaging</i> ● <i>Education Services</i> ● <i>Electric Utilities and Independent Power Producers and Energy Traders (including fossil, alternative and nuclear energy)</i> ● <i>Electrical Equipment and Machinery</i> ● <i>Food and Beverage Processing</i> ● <i>Food and Staples Retailing</i> ● <i>Food Production - Agricultural Production</i> ● <i>Food Production - Animal Source Food Production</i> ● <i>Forest and Paper Products - Forestry, Timber, Pulp and Paper, Rubber</i> ● <i>Gas Utilities</i> ● <i>Ground Transportation - Highways and Railtracks</i> ● <i>Ground Transportation - Railroads Transportation</i> ● <i>Ground Transportation - Trucking Transportation</i> ● <i>Healthcare Equipment and Supplies</i> ● <i>Healthcare Providers and Services, and Healthcare Technology</i> ● <i>Homebuilding</i> ● <i>Hotels, Restaurants and Leisure, and Tourism Services</i> ● <i>Media</i> ● <i>Mining - Coal</i> ● <i>Mining - Iron, Aluminum, Other Metals</i> ● <i>Mining - Other (Rare Minerals, Precious Metals and Gems)</i> ● <i>NGO</i> ● <i>Oil and Gas</i> ● <i>Pharmaceuticals, Biotechnology and Life Sciences</i> ● <i>Professional Services</i> ● <i>Public Agencies</i> ● <i>Real Estate</i> ● <i>Retailing</i> ● <i>Semiconductors and Semiconductors Equipment</i> ● <i>Software and Services</i> ● <i>Solid Waste Management Utilities</i> ● <i>Specialized Consumer Services</i> ● <i>Specialized Financial Services, Consumer Finance, Insurance Brokerage Firms</i> ● <i>Technology Hardware and Equipment</i> ● <i>Telecommunication Services</i> ● <i>Textiles, Apparel, Footwear and Luxury Goods</i> ● <i>Tires</i> ● <i>Tobacco</i> ● <i>Trading Companies and Distributors, and Commercial Services and Supplies</i> ● <i>Water Transportation - Ports and Services</i> ● <i>Water Transportation - Water Transportation</i> ● <i>Water Utilities</i>

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● N/A <p>11. Are you responding to this survey based on your experience and understanding of:*</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Your own organization ● A specific client ● Your experience with a range of organizations <p>12. Are you a current or previous SBTi advisory or working group member? [Yes No]*</p> <p>13. If so, which group (select as many as are relevant)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Scientific Advisory Group ● Technical Advisory Group ● Corporate Net-Zero Standard V2.0 Expert Working Groups ● BVCM Expert Advisory Group ● MRV Expert Advisory Group ● Net-Zero V1.0 Expert Advisory Group ● Financial Institutions Expert Advisory Group ● Other sector-specific advisory group <p>14. Which region is your organization headquartered in? If you are responding in a personal capacity, please select the region where you are based.*</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Africa ● Asia ● Europe ● Latin America ● North America ● Oceania <p>15. What country is your organization headquartered in? If you are responding in a personal capacity, please select the country where you are based.*<i>[Drop down list of country]</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Afghanistan</i> ● <i>Albania</i> ● <i>Algeria</i> ● <i>American Samoa</i> ● <i>Andorra</i> ● <i>Angola</i> ● <i>Anguilla</i> ● <i>Antarctica</i> ● <i>Antigua and Barbuda</i> ● <i>Argentina</i> ● <i>Armenia</i> ● <i>Aruba</i> ● <i>Australia</i> ● <i>Austria</i> ● <i>Azerbaijan</i> ● <i>Bahamas (The)</i> ● <i>Bahrain</i> ● <i>Bangladesh</i> ● <i>Barbados</i> ● <i>Belarus</i> ● <i>Belgium</i> ● <i>Belize</i> ● <i>Benin</i> ● <i>Bermuda</i>

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Bhutan</i> ● <i>Bolivia (Plurinational State of)</i> ● <i>Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba</i> ● <i>Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> ● <i>Botswana</i> ● <i>Bouvet Island</i> ● <i>Brazil</i> ● <i>British Indian Ocean Territory (the)</i> ● <i>Brunei Darussalam</i> ● <i>Bulgaria</i> ● <i>Burkina Faso</i> ● <i>Burundi</i> ● <i>Cabo Verde</i> ● <i>Cambodia</i> ● <i>Cameroon</i> ● <i>Canada</i> ● <i>Cayman Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Central African Republic (the)</i> ● <i>Chad</i> ● <i>Chile</i> ● <i>China</i> ● <i>Christmas Island</i> ● <i>Cocos (Keeling) Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Colombia</i> ● <i>Comoros (the)</i> ● <i>Congo (the Democratic Republic of the)</i> ● <i>Congo (the)</i> ● <i>Cook Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Costa Rica</i> ● <i>Croatia</i> ● <i>Cuba</i> ● <i>Curaçao</i> ● <i>Cyprus</i> ● <i>Czechia</i> ● <i>Côte d'Ivoire</i> ● <i>Denmark</i> ● <i>Djibouti</i> ● <i>Dominica</i> ● <i>Dominican Republic (the)</i> ● <i>Ecuador</i> ● <i>Egypt</i> ● <i>El Salvador</i> ● <i>Equatorial Guinea</i> ● <i>Eritrea</i> ● <i>Estonia</i> ● <i>Eswatini</i> ● <i>Ethiopia</i> ● <i>Falkland Islands (the) [Malvinas]</i> ● <i>Faroe Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Fiji</i> ● <i>Finland</i> ● <i>France</i> ● <i>French Guiana</i>

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>French Polynesia</i> ● <i>French Southern Territories (the)</i> ● <i>Gabon</i> ● <i>Gambia (the)</i> ● <i>Georgia</i> ● <i>Germany</i> ● <i>Ghana</i> ● <i>Gibraltar</i> ● <i>Greece</i> ● <i>Greenland</i> ● <i>Grenada</i> ● <i>Guadeloupe</i> ● <i>Guam</i> ● <i>Guatemala</i> ● <i>Guernsey</i> ● <i>Guinea</i> ● <i>Guinea-Bissau</i> ● <i>Guyana</i> ● <i>Haiti</i> ● <i>Heard Island and McDonald Islands</i> ● <i>Holy See (the)</i> ● <i>Honduras</i> ● <i>Hong Kong</i> ● <i>Hungary</i> ● <i>Iceland</i> ● <i>India</i> ● <i>Indonesia</i> ● <i>Iran (Islamic Republic of)</i> ● <i>Iraq</i> ● <i>Ireland</i> ● <i>Isle of Man</i> ● <i>Israel</i> ● <i>Italy</i> ● <i>Jamaica</i> ● <i>Japan</i> ● <i>Jersey</i> ● <i>Jordan</i> ● <i>Kazakhstan</i> ● <i>Kenya</i> ● <i>Kiribati</i> ● <i>Korea (the Democratic People's Republic of)</i> ● <i>Korea (the Republic of)</i> ● <i>Kosovo</i> ● <i>Kuwait</i> ● <i>Kyrgyzstan</i> ● <i>Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)</i> ● <i>Latvia</i> ● <i>Lebanon</i> ● <i>Lesotho</i> ● <i>Liberia</i> ● <i>Libya</i> ● <i>Liechtenstein</i> ● <i>Lithuania</i>

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Luxembourg</i> ● <i>Macao</i> ● <i>Madagascar</i> ● <i>Malawi</i> ● <i>Malaysia</i> ● <i>Maldives</i> ● <i>Mali</i> ● <i>Malta</i> ● <i>Marshall Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Martinique</i> ● <i>Mauritania</i> ● <i>Mauritius</i> ● <i>Mayotte</i> ● <i>Mexico</i> ● <i>Micronesia (Federated States of)</i> ● <i>Moldova (the Republic of)</i> ● <i>Monaco</i> ● <i>Mongolia</i> ● <i>Montenegro</i> ● <i>Montserrat</i> ● <i>Morocco</i> ● <i>Mozambique</i> ● <i>Myanmar</i> ● <i>Namibia</i> ● <i>Nauru</i> ● <i>Nepal</i> ● <i>Netherlands (Kingdom of the)</i> ● <i>New Caledonia</i> ● <i>New Zealand</i> ● <i>Nicaragua</i> ● <i>Niger (the)</i> ● <i>Nigeria</i> ● <i>Niue</i> ● <i>Norfolk Island</i> ● <i>North Macedonia</i> ● <i>Northern Mariana Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Norway</i> ● <i>Oman</i> ● <i>Pakistan</i> ● <i>Palau</i> ● <i>Palestine, State of</i> ● <i>Panama</i> ● <i>Papua New Guinea</i> ● <i>Paraguay</i> ● <i>Peru</i> ● <i>Philippines (the)</i> ● <i>Pitcairn</i> ● <i>Poland</i> ● <i>Portugal</i> ● <i>Puerto Rico</i> ● <i>Qatar</i> ● <i>Romania</i> ● <i>Russian Federation (the)</i>

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Rwanda</i> ● <i>Réunion</i> ● <i>Saint Barthélemy</i> ● <i>Saint Helena, Ascension and Tristan da Cunha</i> ● <i>Saint Kitts and Nevis</i> ● <i>Saint Lucia</i> ● <i>Saint Martin (French part)</i> ● <i>Saint Pierre and Miquelon</i> ● <i>Saint Vincent and the Grenadines</i> ● <i>Samoa</i> ● <i>San Marino</i> ● <i>Sao Tome and Principe</i> ● <i>Saudi Arabia</i> ● <i>Senegal</i> ● <i>Serbia</i> ● <i>Seychelles</i> ● <i>Sierra Leone</i> ● <i>Singapore</i> ● <i>Sint Maarten (Dutch part)</i> ● <i>Slovakia</i> ● <i>Slovenia</i> ● <i>Solomon Islands</i> ● <i>Somalia</i> ● <i>South Africa</i> ● <i>South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands</i> ● <i>South Sudan</i> ● <i>Spain</i> ● <i>Sri Lanka</i> ● <i>Sudan (the)</i> ● <i>Suriname</i> ● <i>Svalbard and Jan Mayen</i> ● <i>Sweden</i> ● <i>Switzerland</i> ● <i>Syrian Arab Republic (the)</i> ● <i>Taiwan (Province of China)</i> ● <i>Tajikistan</i> ● <i>Tanzania, the United Republic of</i> ● <i>Thailand</i> ● <i>Timor-Leste</i> ● <i>Togo</i> ● <i>Tokelau</i> ● <i>Tonga</i> ● <i>Trinidad and Tobago</i> ● <i>Tunisia</i> ● <i>Turkmenistan</i> ● <i>Turks and Caicos Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Tuvalu</i> ● <i>Türkiye</i> ● <i>Uganda</i> ● <i>Ukraine</i> ● <i>United Arab Emirates (the)</i> ● <i>United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (the)</i> ● <i>United States Minor Outlying Islands (the)</i>

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>United States of America (the)</i> ● <i>Uruguay</i> ● <i>Uzbekistan</i> ● <i>Vanuatu</i> ● <i>Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)</i> ● <i>Viet Nam</i> ● <i>Virgin Islands (British)</i> ● <i>Virgin Islands (U.S.)</i> ● <i>Wallis and Futuna</i> ● <i>Western Sahara*</i> ● <i>Yemen</i> ● <i>Zambia</i> ● <i>Zimbabwe</i> ● <i>Åland Islands</i> <p>16. What countries does your company operate in? “Operate in” refers to the presence of activities and/or emissions that would fall within an organizational boundary for a GHG inventory.* <i>[Select all that apply]</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Afghanistan</i> ● <i>Albania</i> ● <i>Algeria</i> ● <i>American Samoa</i> ● <i>Andorra</i> ● <i>Angola</i> ● <i>Anguilla</i> ● <i>Antarctica</i> ● <i>Antigua and Barbuda</i> ● <i>Argentina</i> ● <i>Armenia</i> ● <i>Aruba</i> ● <i>Australia</i> ● <i>Austria</i> ● <i>Azerbaijan</i> ● <i>Bahamas (The)</i> ● <i>Bahrain</i> ● <i>Bangladesh</i> ● <i>Barbados</i> ● <i>Belarus</i> ● <i>Belgium</i> ● <i>Belize</i> ● <i>Benin</i> ● <i>Bermuda</i> ● <i>Bhutan</i> ● <i>Bolivia (Plurinational State of)</i> ● <i>Bonaire, Sint Eustatius and Saba</i> ● <i>Bosnia and Herzegovina</i> ● <i>Botswana</i> ● <i>Bouvet Island</i> ● <i>Brazil</i> ● <i>British Indian Ocean Territory (the)</i> ● <i>Brunei Darussalam</i> ● <i>Bulgaria</i> ● <i>Burkina Faso</i> ● <i>Burundi</i>

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Cabo Verde</i> ● <i>Cambodia</i> ● <i>Cameroon</i> ● <i>Canada</i> ● <i>Cayman Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Central African Republic (the)</i> ● <i>Chad</i> ● <i>Chile</i> ● <i>China</i> ● <i>Christmas Island</i> ● <i>Cocos (Keeling) Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Colombia</i> ● <i>Comoros (the)</i> ● <i>Congo (the Democratic Republic of the)</i> ● <i>Congo (the)</i> ● <i>Cook Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Costa Rica</i> ● <i>Croatia</i> ● <i>Cuba</i> ● <i>Curaçao</i> ● <i>Cyprus</i> ● <i>Czechia</i> ● <i>Côte d'Ivoire</i> ● <i>Denmark</i> ● <i>Djibouti</i> ● <i>Dominica</i> ● <i>Dominican Republic (the)</i> ● <i>Ecuador</i> ● <i>Egypt</i> ● <i>El Salvador</i> ● <i>Equatorial Guinea</i> ● <i>Eritrea</i> ● <i>Estonia</i> ● <i>Eswatini</i> ● <i>Ethiopia</i> ● <i>Falkland Islands (the) [Malvinas]</i> ● <i>Faroe Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Fiji</i> ● <i>Finland</i> ● <i>France</i> ● <i>French Guiana</i> ● <i>French Polynesia</i> ● <i>French Southern Territories (the)</i> ● <i>Gabon</i> ● <i>Gambia (the)</i> ● <i>Georgia</i> ● <i>Germany</i> ● <i>Ghana</i> ● <i>Gibraltar</i> ● <i>Greece</i> ● <i>Greenland</i> ● <i>Grenada</i> ● <i>Guadeloupe</i>

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Guam</i> ● <i>Guatemala</i> ● <i>Guernsey</i> ● <i>Guinea</i> ● <i>Guinea-Bissau</i> ● <i>Guyana</i> ● <i>Haiti</i> ● <i>Heard Island and McDonald Islands</i> ● <i>Holy See (the)</i> ● <i>Honduras</i> ● <i>Hong Kong</i> ● <i>Hungary</i> ● <i>Iceland</i> ● <i>India</i> ● <i>Indonesia</i> ● <i>Iran (Islamic Republic of)</i> ● <i>Iraq</i> ● <i>Ireland</i> ● <i>Isle of Man</i> ● <i>Israel</i> ● <i>Italy</i> ● <i>Jamaica</i> ● <i>Japan</i> ● <i>Jersey</i> ● <i>Jordan</i> ● <i>Kazakhstan</i> ● <i>Kenya</i> ● <i>Kiribati</i> ● <i>Korea (the Democratic People's Republic of)</i> ● <i>Korea (the Republic of)</i> ● <i>Kosovo</i> ● <i>Kuwait</i> ● <i>Kyrgyzstan</i> ● <i>Lao People's Democratic Republic (the)</i> ● <i>Latvia</i> ● <i>Lebanon</i> ● <i>Lesotho</i> ● <i>Liberia</i> ● <i>Libya</i> ● <i>Liechtenstein</i> ● <i>Lithuania</i> ● <i>Luxembourg</i> ● <i>Macao</i> ● <i>Madagascar</i> ● <i>Malawi</i> ● <i>Malaysia</i> ● <i>Maldives</i> ● <i>Mali</i> ● <i>Malta</i> ● <i>Marshall Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Martinique</i> ● <i>Mauritania</i> ● <i>Mauritius</i>

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Mayotte</i> ● <i>Mexico</i> ● <i>Micronesia (Federated States of)</i> ● <i>Moldova (the Republic of)</i> ● <i>Monaco</i> ● <i>Mongolia</i> ● <i>Montenegro</i> ● <i>Montserrat</i> ● <i>Morocco</i> ● <i>Mozambique</i> ● <i>Myanmar</i> ● <i>Namibia</i> ● <i>Nauru</i> ● <i>Nepal</i> ● <i>Netherlands (Kingdom of the)</i> ● <i>New Caledonia</i> ● <i>New Zealand</i> ● <i>Nicaragua</i> ● <i>Niger (the)</i> ● <i>Nigeria</i> ● <i>Niue</i> ● <i>Norfolk Island</i> ● <i>North Macedonia</i> ● <i>Northern Mariana Islands (the)</i> ● <i>Norway</i> ● <i>Oman</i> ● <i>Pakistan</i> ● <i>Palau</i> ● <i>Palestine, State of</i> ● <i>Panama</i> ● <i>Papua New Guinea</i> ● <i>Paraguay</i> ● <i>Peru</i> ● <i>Philippines (the)</i> ● <i>Pitcairn</i> ● <i>Poland</i> ● <i>Portugal</i> ● <i>Puerto Rico</i> ● <i>Qatar</i> ● <i>Romania</i> ● <i>Russian Federation (the)</i> ● <i>Rwanda</i> ● <i>Réunion</i> ● <i>Saint Barthélemy</i> ● <i>Saint Helena, Ascension and Tristan da Cunha</i> ● <i>Saint Kitts and Nevis</i> ● <i>Saint Lucia</i> ● <i>Saint Martin (French part)</i> ● <i>Saint Pierre and Miquelon</i> ● <i>Saint Vincent and the Grenadines</i> ● <i>Samoa</i> ● <i>San Marino</i> ● <i>Sao Tome and Principe</i>

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Saudi Arabia ● Senegal ● Serbia ● Seychelles ● Sierra Leone ● Singapore ● Sint Maarten (Dutch part) ● Slovakia ● Slovenia ● Solomon Islands ● Somalia ● South Africa ● South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands ● South Sudan ● Spain ● Sri Lanka ● Sudan (the) ● Suriname ● Svalbard and Jan Mayen ● Sweden ● Switzerland ● Syrian Arab Republic (the) ● Taiwan (Province of China) ● Tajikistan ● Tanzania, the United Republic of ● Thailand ● Timor-Leste ● Togo ● Tokelau ● Tonga ● Trinidad and Tobago ● Tunisia ● Turkmenistan ● Turks and Caicos Islands (the) ● Tuvalu ● Türkiye ● Uganda ● Ukraine ● United Arab Emirates (the) ● United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (the) ● United States Minor Outlying Islands (the) ● United States of America (the) ● Uruguay ● Uzbekistan ● Vanuatu ● Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of) ● Viet Nam ● Virgin Islands (British) ● Virgin Islands (U.S.) ● Wallis and Futuna ● Western Sahara* ● Yemen ● Zambia

General topic	Questions
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Zimbabwe ● Åland Islands

General questions:

Table 2 - Cross Spec Sheet questions (Spec sheet concept, structure)

General topic	Questions
	<p>To what extent do you think the Supporting documentation is readable and the structure is easy to understand and follow?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Highly readable and the logic is highly simple to understand and follow b. Sufficiently readable and the logic is sufficiently simple to understand and follow c. Insufficiently readable and the logic is insufficiently simple to understand and follow <p>If you think they are insufficiently readable or that the logic easy to follow please explain why (with reference to specific sections or MMP Spec Sheets if desired)</p> <p>To aid us in the processing of your feedback please:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Begin with “Supporting documentation” if you have general feedback across all the documentation ● Begin with "Cross Spec Sheets" if your feedback applies to the MMP Spec Sheets in general ● Begin with the Spec Sheet title if your feedback relates to one specific Spec Sheet: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Linear Contraction ■ Index Alignment ■ Sector Decarbonization Approach (SDA) ■ Linear Alignment ■ Asset Decarbonization Plan (ADP) ■ Scope 2 Low-carbon electricity pathway ■ Scope 2 electricity emission pathway ■ Cross-sector pathway ■ Supplier and/or customer alignment pathway ■ Generic purchasing pathway ■ Generic products pathway ■ Power generation asset transition pathway ● If you have separate feedback on multiple Spec Sheets, begin each piece of feedback with the relevant Spec Sheet title ● If your feedback refers to more than one specific Spec Sheet, begin with the relevant titles separated by a comma (",") <p>Please note your response does not adhere to this structure, we unfortunately, cannot guarantee it will be processed correctly.</p>

	<p>To what extent do you understand the link between the CNZS V2 Standard, Supporting documentation, and the tool?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Understand b. Somewhat understand c. Do not understand <p>If you answered 'Somewhat understand' or 'Do not understand', please explain what challenges you have in understanding what you believe would help address this (e.g. flow charts, decision trees, etc.)</p>
--	--

Table 3 - MMP specific questions (technical content)

General topic	Questions
<p><i>Method Spec: Asset Decarbonization Plan (ADP)</i></p>	<p>The <i>Asset Decarbonization Plan (ADP) Method</i> is used to create Asset transition targets.</p> <p>Asset transition targets are targets to reduce scope 1 emissions in line with a trajectory defined by an Asset Decarbonization Plan. The plan shall set out a schedule to abate, retire, or decommission GHG-emitting assets in a manner consistent with reaching net-zero emissions by 2050 or earlier, using predetermined milestones (e.g., timeline to phase out investment in new GHG-emitting assets and operation of existing assets) and/or a carbon budget derived from one or more reference science-based pathways.</p> <p>Do you find the information companies are expected to submit to SBTi for ADP target calculation (i.e., Asset base-year emissions grouped by SBTi reference pathway, scheduled retrofit / decommissioning date) is appropriate / feasible?</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Yes b. No <p>If you answered 'No', which categories of information are insufficient or excessive, and what alternative disclosure requirements would you suggest?</p> <p>Please select the information you believe your company would be able to feasibly submit to SBTi as evidence to support validation of an Asset Decarbonization Plan target?</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Transition Plan (as defined in CNZS-C2.1)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Asset Characteristics</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Asset type, age, and expected operational lifetime ● Sector and sub-sector classification ● Geographic location (given regulatory and grid emissions variation) ● Ownership structure (wholly owned, joint venture, leased, etc.) <p style="text-align: center;">Financial & Governance Context</p>

- Capital expenditure plans associated with decarbonization actions
- Governance accountability for delivery of the plan
- Any material risks or dependencies (e.g. grid decarbonization assumptions, policy reliance, technology readiness)

Verification & Assurance

- Whether asset-level data has been independently verified, and to what standard
- Methodology used for emissions calculations

Please comment on your answer with reference to the data selected / not selected and what alternative disclosure requirements would you suggest?

Are the proposed eligibility criteria for using the ADP (i.e., all companies can use the ADP - Carbon Budget method to set targets on all activities, and only companies with activities for which there is applicable Asset Transition Pathways can use ADP - Science-Based Milestones method to set-targets on these activities) clear and appropriate?

- Yes
- No
- Partially

If selected 'Partially' or 'No', what is unclear or inappropriate, and what changes would you recommend?

Should this method be applicable to all activities, or just to specific activities which have proven constraints to decarbonization in the near-term?

- All activities
- Specific activities

If you selected 'Specific activities' please provide an example of the guardrail you would implement to capture these specific activities? e.g., (1) Activities for which no low-carbon technology is commercially available at the end of the asset cycle; (2) long-lived assets (e.g. assets with a lifetime of 10 years or longer)

Which of the following do you believe is the most appropriate way to define asset lifetime for the purpose of creating Asset Transition targets?

- Assumed depreciation period for financial reporting
- Technical lifetime
- SBTi should provide guidance for definition of asset lifetime
- Other

If you selected 'Other' please explain

<p>Pathway Spec: Supplier and/or customer alignment pathway</p>	<p>Are the proposed entity-level criteria and definitions (i.e. in-transition and net-zero aligned definitions) sufficiently clear to be operationalised within your procurement or supply chain processes?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Yes b. No c. Partially <p>If you answered 'Partially' or 'No', which definitions require further clarification?</p> <p>To what extent do you support the proposed definitions of in-transition and net-zero aligned entities?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Support b. Neutral c. Do not support <p>To what extent do you agree with the need for regionally differentiated benchmarks for suppliers in developed and developing economies?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Strongly agree b. Agree c. Neutral d. Disagree e. Strongly disagree <p>To what extent do you agree the proposed 70% near-term benchmarks are feasible for 2030 supplier alignment targets?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Strongly agree b. Agree c. Neutral d. Disagree e. Strongly disagree
<p>Pathway Spec: Cross-sector pathway</p>	<p>To what extent do you agree with the proposed regional pathways and benchmarks to improve how the cross-sector pathway addresses differences in regional energy transitions?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Strongly agree b. Agree c. Neutral d. Disagree e. Strongly disagree <p>Please provide additional comments or suggestions regarding the proposed regional approach, including any alternative approach you would recommend</p>
<p>Pathway Spec: Scope 2 low-carbon electricity pathway</p>	<p>To what extent do you agree that the proposed regional disaggregation for low-carbon electricity better reflects differences in regional power systems?</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Strongly agree b. Agree c. Neutral d. Disagree e. Strongly disagree <p>Please provide additional comments or suggestions regarding the proposed regional approach, including any alternative approach you would recommend</p>
<p>Pathway Spec: Scope 2 electricity emission pathway</p>	<p>To what extent do you agree that the proposed regional disaggregation for S2 electricity emission pathway better reflects differences in regional power systems?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Strongly agree b. Agree c. Neutral d. Disagree e. Strongly disagree <p>Please provide additional comments or suggestions regarding the proposed regional approach, including any alternative approach you would recommend</p>
<p>Pathway Spec: Net-Zero aligned sourcing</p>	<p>Are the proposed commodity-level criteria and definitions (e.g. lower-carbon and net-zero aligned definitions) sufficiently clear to be operationalised within your procurement or supply chain processes?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Yes b. No c. Partially <p>If you answered 'Partially' or 'No', which definitions require further clarification?</p> <p>To what extent do you support the proposed definitions of lower-carbon and net-zero aligned commodities and transport services?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Support b. Neutral c. Do not support
<p>Pathway Spec: Products Pathway</p>	<p>Are the proposed product-level criteria and definitions sufficiently clear to be operationalised within your organisation?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Yes b. No c. Partially <p>If you answered 'No' or 'Partially', which definitions require further clarification (e.g. energy efficiency, durability, circularity, end-of-life recovery)?</p> <p>To what extent do you support the proposed definitions of lower-carbon and net-zero aligned products?</p>

	<p>a. Support b. Neutral c. Do not support</p> <p>Do the proposed criteria for product alignment adequately reflect the characteristics of the product categories most relevant to your organisation?</p> <p>a. Yes b. No c. Partially</p> <p>If you answered 'No' or 'Partially', please provide examples of product categories where the criteria may be difficult to apply.</p> <p>Are there product categories or regional contexts for which you believe the proposed criteria are inappropriate or unworkable as currently drafted?</p> <p>a. Yes b. No</p> <p>If you answered 'Yes', please describe them and suggest how they might be addressed</p>
Method spec-sheets	<p>If you have any remaining feedback on the Method Spec Sheets which has not already been addressed, please enter it below. To aid us in the processing of your feedback please:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Begin with "Cross-Method Spec Sheets" if your feedback applies to the Method Specs in general ● Begin with the Method Spec Sheet title if your feedback relates to one specific Method Spec Sheet Method Spec Sheet titles: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Linear Contraction ○ Index Alignment ○ Sector Decarbonization Approach (SDA) ○ Linear Alignment ○ Asset Decarbonization Plan (ADP) ● If you have separate feedback on multiple Method Spec Sheets, begin each piece of feedback with the relevant Method Spec Sheet title ● If you have feedback which applies to more than one specific Method Spec Sheet but not all of them, begin with the relevant titles separated by a comma (",") <p>Please note that if your response does not adhere to this structure, we unfortunately cannot guarantee it will be processed correctly.</p>
Pathway spec-sheets	<p>If you have any remaining feedback on the Pathway Spec Sheets which has not already been addressed, please enter it below. To aid us in the processing of your feedback please:</p>

- Begin with "**Cross Pathway Spec Sheets**" if your feedback applies to the Pathway Specs in general
- Begin with the **Pathway Spec Sheet title** if your feedback relates to **one specific Pathway Spec Sheet**
Pathway spec sheet titles
 - Scope 2 Low-carbon electricity pathway
 - Scope 2 electricity emission pathway
 - Cross-sector pathway
 - Supplier and/or customer alignment pathway
 - Generic purchasing pathway
 - Generic products pathway
 - Power generation asset transition pathway
- If you have separate feedback on multiple Pathway Spec Sheets, begin **each piece of feedback** with the relevant Pathway Spec Sheet title
- If your feedback applies to more than one specific Pathway Spec Sheet but not all of them, begin with the relevant titles separated by a comma (",")

Please note your response does not adhere to this structure, we unfortunately, cannot guarantee it will be processed correctly.