



SCIENCE
BASED
TARGETS

DRIVING AMBITIOUS CORPORATE CLIMATE ACTION

SBTi Corporate Net-Zero Standard Expert Working Group (EWG) Meeting Minutes

Data Quality, Data Assurance & Claims – Session 2

12 June 2025 - 09:00-11:00 CEST (Option A)

12 June 2025 - 19:00 - 21:00 CEST (Option B)

Virtual

DISCLAIMER

The meeting notes provided herein are intended to capture the discussions, recommendations, and actions taken during the meeting. Although reasonable care was taken in the preparation of this document to accurately represent the proceedings, the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) affirms that the document is provided without representation or warranty, either expressed or implied, of the contents of this document, including its accuracy, completeness, sufficiency or fitness for purpose. The SBTi hereby further disclaims any and all duty, liability, or responsibility (whatsoever), whether direct or indirect, whether arising in tort, contract, or statute or otherwise, for damages or loss relating to the use of this document or any statement or otherwise to the fullest extent permitted by law.

While reasonable efforts have been made to respect the confidentiality of sensitive information, please be aware that the meeting minutes may contain references to discussions or materials considered confidential or privileged. The unauthorized disclosure, distribution, or use of such information is strictly prohibited. If you believe any sensitive information has been inadvertently disclosed, please contact the SBTi.

Meeting minutes are subject to revision and amendment. The content may be updated or modified based on corrections, additions, or clarifications deemed necessary by the SBTi.

Session recommendations deemed interim, unresolved or confidential at the EWG sessions remain undisclosed to the public until the date after which the Standard is published, to protect the confidentiality of the Standard before publication, and to prevent sending premature market signals.

As per clause 6 in the EWG Terms of Reference, members serve on the EWG in their individual capacity as technical experts.

The information (including data) contained in this document is not intended to constitute or form the basis of any advice (legal, financial or otherwise). The SBTi does not accept any duty, liability or responsibility for any claim or loss (whether direct or indirect) arising from any use of or reliance on any data or information contained in this document.

This document is protected by copyright. Information or material from this document may be reproduced only in unaltered form for personal, non-commercial use. All other rights are reserved. Information or material used from this document may be used only for the purposes of private study, research, criticism, or review permitted under the Copyright Designs & Patents Act 1988 as amended from time to time ('Copyright Act'). Any reproduction permitted in accordance with the Copyright Act shall acknowledge this document as the source of any selected passage, extract, diagram, content or other information.

“Science Based Targets initiative” and “SBTi” refer to the Science Based Targets initiative, a private company registered in England number 14960097 and registered as a UK Charity number 1205768.

© SBTi 2026

Meeting participants

Expert Working Group Members

As per clause 6 in the EWG Terms of Reference, members serve on the EWG in their individual capacity as technical experts.

1. Akshita Gupta, Greengage Environmental Ltd.
2. Brad Schallert, Winrock International
3. Claire Wigg, Exponential Roadmap Initiative
4. Florian Pothin, Toovalu / University of Rennes
5. Laura Mora, Ecoverify
6. Laurence Opie, Green Guarantee Company
7. Paola Delgado Luna, Accountability Accelerator
8. Patrick Mallet, ISEAL
9. Sangwon Suh, Watershed
10. Stephanie Glazer, RMHC. Inc.
11. Polly Hemming, The Australia Institute
12. Henry Eu (on behalf of Emma Saraff from Asia Clean Energy Coalition)
13. Aaron Wu, Slaughter and May
14. Martha Stevenson, WWF US

SBTi

1. Erin Lasher (MRV Manager, SBTi)
2. Emma Watson (Head of Corporate Standards, SBTi)
3. Alice Farrelly (BVCM SME, SBTi)
4. Fernando Emiliano Arellano (SBTi) - Observer

Meeting agenda

Housekeeping	05 min
Recap	25 min
Ambition claims discussion	65 min
Introduction to performance claims	20 min
Wrap up	05 min

Note: Please refer to the meeting slide deck for accompanying material

1. Overview

Session Context and Objectives

The 2nd session of the EWG on Data & Claims convened in two meetings (Option A and Option B) to advance discussions on:

1. **Ambition Claims:** discussing and refining the recognition framework for different ambition levels.
 - a. Exploring whether and how to acknowledge “above and beyond” actions.
2. **Performance Claims:** identifying challenges and considerations for claims based on achieved results.

Recap of Previous Discussions & Cross-EWG Updates

Key lessons from the 1st session:

- Credibility and clarity were ranked as top priorities for all future claims.
- Initial framework discussed: application, ambition, conformance, and renewal claims.
- Identified need to increase traceability and assurance, particularly for emissions within the target boundary.

Ambition Claims and Recognition Model

- Overview of the current state, including how ambition is displayed on the SBTi's Target Dashboard
- Examples of “above and beyond” actions
 - Voluntary scope 3 targets: Companies setting scope 3 targets where not required.
 - SMEs choosing corporate validation: Small and medium enterprises opting to use the more rigorous corporate validation route.
 - Accelerated targets: Companies pursuing earlier net-zero dates or larger emission reductions than required.
 - Beyond Value Chain Mitigation (BVCM): Companies voluntarily purchasing verified removals or contributing to external mitigation with co-benefits.

Performance Claims

- Performance claims: Overarching statements of quantified progress against validated targets.
- Supporting claim types:
 - Action claims: Specific activities or initiatives taken (e.g., switching to renewable energy).
 - Performance/Attribution claims: Measured outcomes within the company's value chain.
 - Contribution claims: Positive climate actions outside the value chain (e.g., BVCM).

2. Option A Discussion

Ambition claims and recognition

- Strong support for science-based and conformance claims.
- Broad skepticism regarding “Paris alignment” and “temperature alignment” due to public confusion and lack of clarity.
- Recognition should not create a false hierarchy that diminishes companies meeting the minimum.
- Attendees cautioned against introducing badges or tiers that might devalue the SBTi standard.
- Some advocated for backend data availability and transparency dashboards rather than marketing-style recognition.

Key Takeaways

- Transparency-first approach favored over complex tiering.
- Potential recognition for exceeding ambition might be deferred to the performance stage rather than the commitment stage.

3. Option B Discussion

Ambition claims and recognition

- Emphasis on phased claim development: basic claims upon validation, more robust claims available post-publication of a transition plan.
- Concerns about unverifiable ambition claims without evidence of planning or action.
- General support for status disclosure and transparency of target coverage on the dashboard.
- Concern that over-recognition could create green hushing or inequities.
- Agreement that achievement should drive recognition, not merely high-level ambition.
- Participants raised concerns about equity: recognition should not unfairly favor Category A firms.
- Careful balance between motivation and fairness required to avoid discouraging companies in harder-to-abate sectors.

Performance claims

- Provisions for companies growing emissions while providing climate solutions should be considered.
- Preference for supportive corrective action rather than punitive approaches for underperformance.

Key Takeaways

- Recognition should reward verified achievement, not just stated ambition.
- Performance claims must be rigorous, contextualized, and fair to both large and small companies.
- Caution against over-complicating recognition to avoid discouraging participation or creating inequities.

4. Themes Across Both Meetings

Attendees across both Option A and B sessions envisioned a claims framework that:

1. Centers on science-based credibility and verifiable impact.
2. Uses transparency and market-driven recognition rather than SBTi-managed tiers.
3. Rewards real achievement through performance claims, not ambition alone.
4. Integrates equity and fairness, recognizing resource differences across company types.
5. Maintains simplicity and alignment with external regulations to encourage participation and reduce confusion.